



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,527	02/11/2002	Subrata Mokerji	500-3013-U	6146

7590 03/31/2004

MCDONALD, HOPKINS, BURKE & HABER CO.
2100 BANK ONE CENTER
600 SUPERIOR AVENUE, E.
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2653

EXAMINER

PIZIALI, ANDREW T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1771

20

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/073,527

Applicant(s)

MOKERJI, SUBRATA

Examiner

Andrew T Piziali

Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Piziali.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Earp.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 6,399,219 and USPN 5,589,280.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that the filing date of the parent case is earlier than the filing date of USPN 6,399,219. Discussed amending claims 1 and 58 to claim that the second decorative layer is directly on the first leveling layer. Discussed amending claims 48 and 60 to claim that the third decorative layer is directly on the second adhesion promoting layer. Discussed amending claims 52 and 62 to claim that the decorative sandwich is directly on the first leveling layer.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required